IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TEXARKANA DIVISION

MYRON STUART §
v. § CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:15cv105
SHERIFF JAMES PRINCE, ET AL. §

MEMORANDUM ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE AND ENTERING FINAL JUDGMENT

The Plaintiff Myron Stuart, proceeding *pro se*, filed this civil action complaining of alleged violations of his constitutional rights. This Court ordered the matter referred to the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1) and (3) and the Amended Order for the Adoption of Local Rules for the Assignment of Duties to United States Magistrate Judges.

Plaintiff complained of damage to his reputation resulting from his arrest and conviction, false arrest and imprisonment, and being arrested on other charges which were later dropped. After review of the pleadings, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending the lawsuit be dismissed with prejudice.

A copy of this Report was sent to Plaintiff at his last known address, return receipt requested, but no objections have been received; accordingly, he is barred from *de novo* review by the District Judge of those findings, conclusions, and recommendations and, except upon grounds of plain error, from appellate review of the unobjected-to proposed factual findings and legal conclusions accepted and adopted by the district court. <u>Douglass v. United Services Automobile Association</u>, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en banc*).

The Court has reviewed the pleadings in this cause and the Report of the Magistrate Judge.

Upon such review, the Court has determined the Report of the Magistrate Judge is correct. *See*

United States v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir.), cert. denied, 492 U.S. 918, 109 S.Ct. 3243

(1989) (where no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the standard of review is

"clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law"). It is accordingly

ORDERED the Report of the Magistrate Judge (docket no. 10) is **ADOPTED** as the opinion

of the District Court. It is further

ORDERED the Plaintiff's claims of false arrest and false imprisonment are **DISMISSED**

WITH PREJUDICE to their being asserted again until such time as the Plaintiff shows his

conviction or sentence has been reversed on direct appeal, expunged by executive order, declared

invalid by an authorized state tribunal, or called into question by a federal court's issuance of a writ

of habeas corpus. It is further

ORDERED the Plaintiff's claims concerning the alleged damage to his reputation, character,

or credibility, and his claims regarding the charges on which he was arrested but not prosecuted or

convicted, are **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** for purposes of proceeding in forma pauperis

as frivolous and for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. It is further

ORDERED the Clerk shall send a copy of this Memorandum Opinion to the Administrator

of the Three Strikes List for the Eastern District of Texas. Finally, it is

ORDERED that any and all motions which may be pending in this action are hereby

DENIED.

SIGNED this 15th day of March, 2016.

ROBERT W. SCHROEDER III

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dohert W Filmoeden to

2